

Predictive Maintenance of Smart Manufacturing Equipment Using Sensor Data and Artificial Intelligence

Dr. Ren Kobayashi

Professor, Institute of Advanced Engineering, Japan

Abstract

Predictive maintenance has emerged as an important analytical approach for monitoring manufacturing equipment and identifying operational conditions that may indicate developing machinery faults. Smart manufacturing environments increasingly employ interconnected sensors to collect information about machine vibration, temperature, electrical current, acoustic characteristics, and operating conditions. These measurements provide opportunities to investigate equipment performance through automated computational methods. However, industrial sensor information frequently contains measurement noise, incomplete observations, nonlinear relationships, and variations caused by changing production conditions. Such characteristics complicate the identification of developing faults and the reliable prediction of maintenance requirements. The present paper develops a hypothetical methodological framework for examining sensor-based predictive maintenance using artificial intelligence and machine learning. The proposed investigation considers a vibration-threshold baseline, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, and a one-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network. A fictional dataset containing 15,000 sensor observation windows obtained conceptually from 50 smart manufacturing machines is introduced to demonstrate the intended research design.

Two illustrative analytical tables present the distribution of hypothetical equipment observations and comparative classification outcomes. All numerical values are manually constructed and do not represent executed computational experiments, actual manufacturing observations, or independently verified equipment failure predictions. The methodological discussion examines sensor reliability, operational variability, class imbalance, model generalization, computational efficiency, and maintenance decision-making. Particular attention is given to distinguishing statistical predictions from verified equipment failures and recognizing the limitations of classification-based maintenance alerts. The paper concludes that artificial intelligence provides a relevant methodological foundation for equipment condition assessment, although effective implementation requires representative industrial data, reliable maintenance records, independently validated predictions, and appropriate operational oversight.

Keywords: Predictive Maintenance, Smart Manufacturing, Artificial Intelligence, Sensor Data Analytics, Machine Learning, Equipment Fault Prediction, Condition Monitoring, Industrial Automation, Remaining Useful Life, Manufacturing Intelligence.

1. Introduction

Smart manufacturing has transformed industrial production environments through the integration of intelligent machinery, interconnected sensors, automated control systems, and computational information technologies. Modern manufacturing facilities increasingly generate continuous operational records describing equipment performance, production conditions, and machine health indicators. These records provide opportunities to investigate machinery behavior and improve maintenance-related decision-making through systematic data analysis. Equipment reliability remains an important concern because unexpected machine failures can interrupt production schedules, affect product quality, and increase operational costs. Consequently, manufacturers require effective procedures for monitoring equipment conditions and identifying potential maintenance requirements before substantial operational disruption occurs.

Traditional industrial maintenance practices commonly involve corrective maintenance after equipment failure or preventive maintenance according to predetermined operating intervals. Corrective maintenance can result in unexpected production interruptions, whereas fixed preventive schedules may require servicing equipment that remains in satisfactory operating condition. Predictive maintenance introduces a different approach by examining available condition information to estimate whether maintenance intervention may become necessary. This strategy depends on the relationship between observed machine behavior and subsequent equipment conditions. Sensor-based monitoring provides a potential foundation for such analysis because it enables the collection of operational information throughout equipment use.

Industrial sensors can record physical and electrical characteristics associated with machine operation. Vibration measurements may provide information about rotating components, while temperature and electrical current can reflect changes in mechanical loading or energy consumption. Acoustic signals may contain additional information about equipment behavior. However, individual measurements often vary according to operating speed, production load, environmental conditions, and sensor placement. A temporary increase in vibration does not necessarily indicate developing equipment failure. Therefore, maintenance prediction requires analytical methods capable of examining multiple measurements and distinguishing ordinary operational variation from potentially meaningful deterioration patterns.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning provide computational approaches for examining complex relationships within industrial sensor information. Statistical classification algorithms, ensemble methods, and neural networks can be developed to identify combinations of measurements associated with known equipment conditions. Temporal feature extraction also allows models to consider changes occurring across consecutive observation periods. However, predictive performance depends on reliable historical records, clearly defined maintenance outcomes, and appropriate evaluation procedures. Models trained using limited operating conditions may not perform consistently across different machines or production environments. Consequently, methodological transparency and independent testing are necessary when examining AI-based maintenance systems.

The present paper proposes a hypothetical investigation of predictive maintenance for smart manufacturing equipment using sensor data and artificial intelligence. The research framework considers a vibration-threshold baseline and four machine learning approaches for predicting maintenance-related alerts. A fictional dataset representing industrial machine observations is introduced to demonstrate the intended analytical procedure. The study emphasizes sensor representation, predictive model

development, classification metrics, and operational constraints. No real industrial equipment was monitored, and no computational experiments were performed. The numerical examples provide an illustrative foundation for discussing future empirical predictive maintenance research.

2. Objectives of the Study

The principal objective of this study is to examine the methodological application of artificial intelligence and sensor-based analytics for predicting maintenance requirements in smart manufacturing equipment. The investigation concentrates on machinery condition indicators, comparative predictive modeling, and operational reliability. Three specific objectives establish the analytical direction of the proposed research framework.

2.1 Examination of Sensor-Based Equipment Condition Indicators

The first objective is to examine how measurements collected from industrial sensors may represent changes in equipment operating conditions. Vibration, temperature, electrical current, and acoustic characteristics are considered potential indicators of machine behavior. The proposed study investigates how these measurements could be organized into structured analytical variables suitable for predictive modeling. Particular attention is given to identifying relationships among sensor characteristics and maintenance-related outcomes. This objective emphasizes the importance of interpreting measurements collectively rather than assuming that individual deviations necessarily indicate equipment deterioration.

The investigation further aims to establish how temporal information may contribute to machinery condition assessment. Equipment deterioration can develop gradually, producing changes that become more informative when examined across consecutive observation windows. Statistical summaries, moving averages, variability indicators, and selected frequency-domain features may support this assessment. However, their usefulness depends on operating conditions and the reliability of the underlying measurements. This objective therefore emphasizes consistent sensor preprocessing, suitable temporal representation, and careful differentiation between routine operational changes and potentially meaningful maintenance indicators.

2.2 Comparative Evaluation of Artificial Intelligence Models

The second objective focuses on comparing alternative computational approaches for predicting equipment maintenance alerts. A conventional vibration-threshold rule, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, and a one-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network are selected to represent different analytical strategies. Their intended evaluation considers precision, recall, and F1-score under consistent test conditions. The proposed comparison seeks to establish a methodological procedure for examining whether AI-based models provide useful predictive information beyond predefined sensor threshold rules.

A further objective involves assessing the relationship between classification performance and model complexity. Linear statistical models may provide comparatively interpretable predictions, while ensemble methods and neural networks can represent nonlinear relationships among sensor variables. However, more complex methods may require larger datasets and additional computational resources. The proposed framework therefore emphasizes independent testing, suitable parameter optimization, and consistent evaluation conditions. This objective encourages model assessment based on reproducible predictive evidence rather than assumptions about the advantages of increasingly sophisticated algorithms.

2.3 Identification of Maintenance Prediction Constraints

The third objective is to identify methodological difficulties that may affect predictive maintenance using industrial sensor data. These include incomplete observations, measurement noise, unequal class distributions, changing operating conditions, and inconsistent maintenance records. Such characteristics may introduce uncertainty into the relationships learned by classification algorithms. The proposed study examines how data preparation, feature construction, and appropriate evaluation procedures could address selected difficulties. Particular attention is given to identifying limitations that may reduce model reliability when applied to equipment beyond the development dataset.

The investigation also considers practical requirements associated with predictive maintenance implementation. Industrial monitoring systems must process sensor measurements within suitable time limits and produce alerts that can be interpreted by maintenance personnel. Predictions must also be evaluated against operational costs and equipment safety requirements. Consequently, the proposed framework emphasizes computational efficiency, prediction transparency, and maintenance decision support. This objective establishes that successful predictive maintenance depends on the integration of analytical performance with reliable industrial procedures and professional equipment assessment.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Foundations of Condition-Based Maintenance and Machinery Prognostics

Venkatasubramanian et al. (2003) reviewed process-history-based approaches to fault detection and diagnosis, establishing important methodological foundations for monitoring industrial operating conditions. Jardine et al. (2006) subsequently examined machinery diagnostics and prognostics within condition-based maintenance systems. Their review emphasized the relationship among condition monitoring, data processing, and maintenance decisions. Widodo and Yang (2007) investigated Support Vector Machines for machinery condition monitoring and fault diagnosis. These contributions demonstrated the relevance of statistical learning and structured sensor analysis to equipment health assessment.

Heng et al. (2009) reviewed rotating machinery prognostics and identified methodological challenges associated with estimating future equipment conditions. Peng et al. (2010) examined developments in machine prognostics for condition-based maintenance, while Si et al. (2011) reviewed statistical data-driven approaches to Remaining Useful Life estimation. Randall and Antoni (2011) investigated signal analysis techniques for bearing diagnostics. Together, these studies established the importance of reliable condition indicators, appropriate degradation modeling, and uncertainty assessment in the development of machinery maintenance prediction systems.

3.2 Sensor Analytics and Smart Manufacturing Systems

Gubbi et al. (2013) examined the Internet of Things and discussed architectural elements supporting interconnected sensing and information processing. Lee et al. (2013) investigated developments in predictive manufacturing systems operating within extensive industrial data environments. Their contribution emphasized the increasing relevance of computational analytics to manufacturing decision-making. Xu et al. (2014) reviewed industrial IoT technologies and applications. These publications established an important connection between distributed sensor infrastructure, industrial information systems, and data-driven operational intelligence.

Lee et al. (2014) examined prognostics and health management methods for rotary machinery systems and discussed their application to machine condition assessment. Lee et al. (2015) proposed a cyber-physical systems architecture relevant to intelligent manufacturing. Al-Fuqaha et al. (2015) reviewed technologies and communication mechanisms supporting IoT environments. Together, these contributions demonstrate how interconnected equipment can provide data for computational monitoring. They also indicate that successful industrial analytics requires coordination among data acquisition, information processing, predictive modeling, and operational decision-making.

3.3 Artificial Intelligence Approaches to Equipment Fault Prediction

Breiman (2001) developed Random Forest as an ensemble learning technique capable of representing nonlinear relationships through multiple randomized decision trees. Friedman (2001) introduced Gradient Boosting through sequential predictive optimization. Qin (2012) reviewed data-driven approaches for industrial process monitoring and diagnosis. These contributions established relevant foundations for applying statistical and machine learning methods to industrial observations. Their methodological importance lies in demonstrating that different algorithms use distinct learning mechanisms and may respond differently to noisy, correlated, and complex sensor features.

Susto et al. (2015) investigated a multiple-classifier methodology for predictive maintenance, emphasizing the role of machine learning in maintenance decision support. Ince et al. (2016) examined one-dimensional convolutional networks for motor fault detection, while Janssens et al. (2016) investigated convolutional approaches for rotating machinery fault detection. These studies expanded the computational options available for machinery condition analysis. Their findings support the investigation of learned representations, although the practical effectiveness of individual techniques depends on representative data, appropriate evaluation, and the intended maintenance application.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design and Predictive Maintenance Framework

The present paper proposes a hypothetical quantitative comparative research design for examining sensor-based predictive maintenance in smart manufacturing environments. Five analytical configurations are considered: a vibration-threshold baseline, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, and a one-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network. The proposed classification target is whether a machine observation window precedes a maintenance-confirmed malfunction within the following 24 operating hours. This framework has not been implemented using actual manufacturing records and is intended exclusively for methodological illustration.

The proposed analytical procedure consists of sensor data preparation, temporal window construction, feature extraction, model development, and independent classification assessment. Traditional machine learning models would use engineered sensor characteristics, while the convolutional network would process appropriately formatted multichannel temporal sequences. Model configurations and decision thresholds would be selected using development data. Equipment identities would remain separated across training, validation, and test partitions to reduce information leakage. The framework therefore emphasizes reproducible predictive evaluation rather than claims of completed industrial experimentation.

4.2 Hypothetical Equipment Dataset and Sensor Preparation

For illustrative purposes, the study assumes a fictional dataset containing 15,000 nonoverlapping hourly sensor observation windows associated with 50 CNC spindle-drive systems. Each machine contributes 300 observation windows, with measurements involving vibration, temperature, electrical current, and acoustic characteristics. The hypothetical dataset contains 13,500 normal windows and 1,500 windows labeled as preceding maintenance-confirmed malfunctions. These values are manually constructed and do not represent actual equipment measurements, recorded failures, or computationally generated simulations.

The proposed preparation procedure would examine missing sensor readings, duplicate timestamps, inconsistent sampling rates, and measurement noise. Statistical and frequency-domain features would be calculated from the available observations. Historical rolling features would use measurements recorded before the relevant prediction time. The proposed data partition would allocate 30 machines to training, 10 to validation, and 10 to independent testing. This machine-level separation would reduce the possibility that highly related observations from the same equipment appear across different evaluation partitions.

4.3 Machine Learning Development and Performance Assessment

Logistic Regression would provide an interpretable statistical baseline, while Random Forest and Gradient Boosting would represent alternative ensemble learning strategies. The one-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network would examine temporal sensor characteristics through learned convolutional filters. A vibration-threshold rule would provide a conventional comparison. Model configurations would be selected using validation data, while independent testing would remain restricted until development decisions were finalized. The intended procedure would also document preprocessing settings, operating conditions, and computational resources.

The proposed evaluation would prioritize precision, recall, and F1-score for maintenance-alert classification. Precision would measure the proportion of generated alerts associated with the defined reference outcome, while recall would describe the proportion of positive windows identified. F1-score would summarize the balance between these measures. A completed study should additionally examine warning lead time, false alerts per operating hour, and maintenance-related costs. Remaining Useful Life estimation would require a separate regression or survival-analysis evaluation using reliable failure timestamps.

5. Analysis

5.1 Illustrative Distribution of Equipment Monitoring Observations

The distribution of normal and maintenance-related observations influences the development and evaluation of predictive maintenance classification models. Equipment operation may produce extensive records of satisfactory performance alongside smaller numbers of observations associated with developing malfunctions. Table 1 presents a hypothetical distribution across machine-level training, validation, and testing partitions. The illustrative dataset assigns 10% of the observation windows to the positive maintenance-alert category. These values are fictional and do not represent observed failure frequencies.

The hypothetical distribution demonstrates why aggregate classification accuracy may provide an incomplete account of maintenance prediction performance. A classifier identifying every window as

normal would achieve 90% accuracy within the constructed dataset while failing to identify any positive maintenance-alert windows. Consequently, class-sensitive evaluation is necessary. The proposed framework therefore emphasizes precision, recall, and F1-score. The identical class proportions across partitions are simplifying assumptions rather than evidence that malfunction frequencies remain stable across industrial machines.

Table 1: Hypothetical Distribution of Smart Manufacturing Sensor Observations

Observation Category	Training Set	Validation Set	Test Set	Total
Normal Equipment Windows	8,100	2,700	2,700	13,500
Maintenance-Alert Windows	900	300	300	1,500
Total Observations	9,000	3,000	3,000	15,000

The constructed dataset contains 50 equipment units and 15,000 observation windows. Its purpose is to demonstrate machine-level partitioning and the organization of maintenance-related classification outcomes within a potential predictive maintenance investigation.

5.2 Illustrative Comparison of Artificial Intelligence Prediction Models

Predictive maintenance classification models can be compared by examining correctly identified maintenance-alert windows and incorrectly generated alerts. Precision indicates the reliability of positive classifications, while recall describes the proportion of relevant maintenance-alert windows detected. Table 2 presents hypothetical classification counts for five analytical approaches evaluated against the same test partition. The assumed test dataset contains 300 positive and 2,700 negative windows. All outcomes are manually constructed and do not represent actual computational predictions.

The illustrative comparison assigns the highest F1-score to Gradient Boosting, while the one-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network receives slightly higher recall but lower precision. This pattern demonstrates how different models may involve trade-offs between missed maintenance alerts and unnecessary notifications. However, the numerical differences cannot establish predictive superiority because the algorithms have not been implemented.

Table 2: Hypothetical Comparative Performance of Predictive Maintenance Models

Predictive Model	True Positives	False Positives	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Vibration-Threshold Rule	185	155	0.544	0.617	0.578
Logistic Regression	205	115	0.641	0.683	0.661
Random Forest	237	100	0.703	0.790	0.744
Gradient Boosting	246	89	0.734	0.820	0.775
One-Dimensional CNN	251	110	0.695	0.837	0.759

The hypothetical table illustrates that maximizing recall may not produce the highest F1-score. A maintenance prediction system must consider both missed deterioration indicators and unnecessary alerts before selecting an appropriate operational decision threshold.

6. Discussion

6.1 Sensor-Based Monitoring and Equipment Condition Interpretation

Sensor-based monitoring provides an analytical foundation for examining changes in machinery operating characteristics. Vibration, temperature, current, and acoustic measurements can reflect selected aspects of mechanical and electrical behavior. However, their interpretation depends on the operating context because production load and machine speed may influence the recorded values. The proposed framework emphasizes multivariate assessment and historical feature construction rather than treating individual measurement changes as definitive indicators of equipment malfunction.

The methodological usefulness of sensor information also depends on its relationship with verified maintenance outcomes. A statistical association between vibration changes and future malfunction may support prediction but does not independently establish the physical cause of deterioration. Reliable condition assessment therefore requires suitable reference records and appropriate evaluation of alternative explanations. The hypothetical research design addresses this issue through a defined maintenance-alert outcome. Its numerical examples cannot establish that any particular sensor characteristic reliably predicts equipment failure.

6.2 Artificial Intelligence Models and Predictive Reliability

Artificial intelligence methods provide alternative approaches to representing relationships among equipment measurements. Logistic Regression estimates classification relationships through a comparatively simple statistical structure, whereas tree-based ensembles can represent nonlinear interactions. Convolutional neural networks can learn temporal patterns directly from suitably organized sensor sequences. These differences may influence predictive performance under changing machine conditions. However, increasingly sophisticated computational methods do not necessarily provide greater reliability when available training observations are limited or inconsistently labeled.

The hypothetical comparison demonstrates a possible situation in which ensemble and neural approaches identify more maintenance-alert windows than a conventional threshold rule. Nevertheless, these fictional differences cannot establish actual performance improvement. A completed investigation would need to examine independent equipment populations, repeated training, and uncertainty estimates. Computational requirements and model interpretability would also affect practical selection. Therefore, predictive model suitability should be assessed in relation to operational requirements rather than determined exclusively by the highest classification metric.

6.3 Maintenance Decision-Making and Operational Performance

Predictive maintenance systems are intended to support decisions concerning equipment inspection, servicing, and maintenance scheduling. However, a classification alert does not automatically establish when a component should be replaced or whether an immediate intervention is required. Operational decisions depend on equipment criticality, available maintenance resources, production schedules, and the consequences of unexpected failure. The proposed framework therefore treats artificial intelligence predictions as decision-support information requiring appropriate technical interpretation.

Remaining Useful Life estimation introduces additional analytical requirements because it concerns the expected operational time before a defined failure event. Classification models identifying maintenance-alert windows do not directly provide such estimates. A separate prognostic analysis would require dependable failure timestamps, appropriate degradation modeling, and evaluation of prediction uncertainty. The present methodological study focuses on classification and does not claim to estimate equipment life. This distinction is necessary for interpreting the scope and potential usefulness of the proposed predictive maintenance framework.

7. Challenges

7.1 Sensor Reliability and Incomplete Operational Information

Industrial sensor measurements may be affected by calibration differences, equipment vibration, environmental conditions, communication interruptions, and measurement noise. These factors can create irregular observations that do not necessarily correspond to developing machinery faults. Missing measurements may also disrupt temporal feature extraction and reduce the reliability of predictive models. Consequently, effective sensor-based maintenance analysis requires systematic data quality assessment. The proposed framework emphasizes consistent preprocessing and appropriate handling of incomplete records before predictive model development.

Operational information may also be incomplete when machine usage, maintenance activities, or component replacements are not recorded consistently. These omissions can affect the interpretation of sensor patterns and the accuracy of reference maintenance labels. A machine may receive preventive servicing before a potential failure occurs, complicating the determination of its eventual failure outcome. Consequently, future research should account for intervention history and censored observations where relevant. Dependable maintenance records are essential for developing credible predictive relationships.

7.2 Changing Manufacturing Conditions and Prediction Stability

Smart manufacturing equipment frequently operates under changing production loads, processing speeds, material characteristics, and environmental conditions. Such variations can alter sensor measurements without indicating developing machine deterioration. A model trained under one operating regime may therefore produce unreliable predictions under another. These difficulties become particularly relevant when equipment configurations or production requirements change over time. Predictive maintenance systems consequently require suitable operating-context information and periodic evaluation of model performance.

Model updating presents additional challenges because newly collected observations may contain unrecognized faults or inconsistent maintenance labels. Incorporating such information without adequate verification can weaken predictive reliability. Conversely, retaining an outdated model may reduce its relevance to current manufacturing conditions. The proposed methodology therefore emphasizes controlled model updates and independently assessed performance. Future investigations should determine whether predictive relationships remain stable across different equipment types, production environments, and maintenance histories.

7.3 Computational Integration and Maintenance Alert Interpretation

Implementing artificial intelligence-based predictive maintenance requires coordination among sensor systems, industrial communication infrastructure, computational platforms, and maintenance personnel. Real-time monitoring may impose constraints on processing latency, memory availability, and network reliability. More complex models can introduce additional computational demands that affect their suitability for resource-limited industrial environments. Consequently, predictive performance should be evaluated alongside inference time, system availability, and the practical requirements of equipment monitoring.

Maintenance alert interpretation presents another difficulty because false-positive predictions can produce unnecessary inspections or interruptions, while false-negative predictions may leave developing faults unidentified. The relative importance of these errors depends on equipment criticality and operating conditions. A model with favorable statistical performance may still require threshold adjustments before practical use. The proposed framework therefore emphasizes transparent reporting, appropriate human oversight, and the assessment of maintenance consequences rather than relying exclusively on conventional classification scores.

8. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence and sensor-based analytics provide a methodological foundation for examining predictive maintenance within smart manufacturing environments. The present paper developed a hypothetical comparative framework involving conventional threshold monitoring, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, and a one-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network. Its principal focus was the organization of equipment sensor observations, maintenance-alert classification, and independent performance evaluation. The proposed design demonstrates how alternative predictive approaches could be compared using consistent analytical procedures.

The illustrative tables demonstrate possible methods for presenting equipment observation distributions and classification performance. However, all numerical values were manually constructed and do not represent actual manufacturing measurements, completed computational experiments, or verified maintenance predictions. Establishing meaningful predictive effectiveness would require reliable industrial records, executed model training, independent testing, and suitable statistical analysis. Operational conclusions would also require evaluation of warning lead time, maintenance costs, and the consequences of incorrect alerts.

Overall, the study identifies sensor quality, changing operating conditions, model generalization, computational requirements, and maintenance interpretation as important considerations in AI-supported equipment monitoring. Future empirical research should investigate these factors through representative industrial datasets and reproducible experimental procedures. Predictive maintenance may improve operational decision support when supported by reliable information and appropriate technical oversight. Nevertheless, genuine conclusions about equipment failure prediction and maintenance benefits must be established through independently verified evidence.

References

1. Carvalho, T. P., Soares, F. A. A. M. N., Vita, R., Francisco, R. da P., Basto, J. P., & Alcalá, S. G. S. (2019). A systematic literature review of machine learning methods applied to predictive

- maintenance. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 137, Article 106024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106024>
2. Gupta, S., Kumar, A., & Maiti, J. (2024). A critical review on system architecture, techniques, trends and challenges in intelligent predictive maintenance. Safety Science, 177, Article 106590. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2024.106590>
 3. Huang, C., Bu, S., Lee, H. H., Chan, C. H., Kong, S. W., & Yung, W. K. C. (2024). Prognostics and health management for predictive maintenance: A review. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 75, 78–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2024.05.021>
 4. Jardine, A. K. S., Lin, D., & Banjevic, D. (2006). A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 20(7), 1483–1510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2005.09.012>
 5. Kritzinger, W., Karner, M., Traar, G., Henjes, J., & Sihn, W. (2018). Digital twin in manufacturing: A categorical literature review and classification. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 51(11), 1016–1022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.08.474>
 6. Lei, Y., Li, N., Guo, L., Li, N., Yan, T., & Lin, J. (2018). Machinery health prognostics: A systematic review from data acquisition to remaining useful life prediction. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 104, 799–834. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2017.11.016>
 7. Lee, J., Wu, F., Zhao, W., Ghaffari, M., Liao, L., & Siegel, D. (2014). Prognostics and health management design for rotary machinery systems—Reviews, methodology and applications. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 42(1–2), 314–334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2013.06.004>
 8. Mallioris, P., Aivazidou, E., & Bechtsis, D. (2024). Predictive maintenance in Industry 4.0: A systematic multi-sector mapping. CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology, 50, 80–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirpj.2024.02.003>
 9. Mobley, R. K. (2002). An introduction to predictive maintenance (2nd ed.). Butterworth-Heinemann.
 10. Pech, M., Vrchota, J., & Bednář, J. (2021). Predictive maintenance and intelligent sensors in smart factory: Review. Sensors, 21(4), Article 1470. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21041470>
 11. Randall, R. B. (2011). Vibration-based condition monitoring: Industrial, aerospace and automotive applications. Wiley.
 12. Susto, G. A., Schirru, A., Pampuri, S., McLoone, S., & Beghi, A. (2015). Machine learning for predictive maintenance: A multiple classifier approach. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 11(3), 812–820. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2014.2349359>
 13. Si, X.-S., Wang, W., Hu, C.-H., & Zhou, D.-H. (2011). Remaining useful life estimation—A review on the statistical data driven approaches. European Journal of Operational Research, 213(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2010.11.018>
 14. Tao, F., Zhang, H., Liu, A., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2019). Digital twin in industry: State-of-the-art. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 15(4), 2405–2415. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2018.2873186>
 15. Zonta, T., da Costa, C. A., da Rosa Righi, R., de Lima, M. J., da Trindade, E. S., & Li, G. P. (2020). Predictive maintenance in the Industry 4.0: A systematic literature review. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 150, Article 106889. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106889>
 16. Zhao, R., Yan, R., Chen, Z., Mao, K., Wang, P., & Gao, R. X. (2019). Deep learning and its applications to machine health monitoring. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 115, 213–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2018.05.050>

17. Gupta, P., et al. (2024). Artificial intelligence for predictive maintenance applications: Key components, trustworthiness, and future trends. *Applied Sciences*, 14(2), Article 898. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14020898>
18. Mobley, R. K. (1999). *Vibration fundamentals*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
19. Jardine, A. K. S., & Tsang, A. H. C. (2013). *Maintenance, replacement, and reliability: Theory and applications* (2nd ed.). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b14941>
20. Windmann, A., Wittenberg, P., Schieseck, M., & Niggemann, O. (2024). Artificial intelligence in Industry 4.0: A review of integration challenges for industrial systems. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.18580>